

Design of a Component-Based Augmented Reality Framework

Martin Bauer, Bernd Bruegge, Gudrun
Klinker, Asa MacWilliams, Thomas Reicher,
Stefan Riss, Christian Sandor, Martin Wagner

*Technische Universität München
Institut für Informatik*



Summary

- We think the time has come for augmented reality frameworks
- This will allow software components to be reused between different AR applications
- We have built and tested a first version of such a framework, called DWARF

Outline


- Advantages of an AR framework
- Necessary elements of an AR framework
- DWARF – architecture and components
- Demonstration system

Advantages— Project manager

- Components can be reused in different applications
- Components are “black boxes” for other components
- Distribute development in time and space
- Rapid prototyping of AR applications becomes feasible


Advantages– User

- Software is integrated with hardware in wearable or stationary *modules*
- System is reconfigured by re-plugging modules




Advantages— Application developer

- System consists of layered *services*
- *Application* is modeled by configuring services



Advantages— Module developer

- Modules are hardware with software services
- Services provide basic functionality, e.g. tracking
- Services have *needs* and *abilities*




Elements of an AR framework

- Services providing general functionality
 - General enough to reuse, yet also efficient
- Architecture to fit the services together
 - Generalization of many different AR systems
- Middleware to let services communicate
 - Must allow fast yet flexible communication

DWARF– Main services


- Distributed Wearable Augmented Reality Framework as test of framework concepts
- First DWARF services cover the basic functionalities for AR applications
 - World model, optical tracker, GPS/compass tracker, tracking manager, user interface engine, VRML viewer, HTML viewer, voice recognition, taskflow engine, context router
- Implementation of services uses well-established and third-party technology

DWARF– Generic architecture





DWARF– Middleware

- To find each other spontaneously and communicate with one another, the DWARF services use CORBA-based Middleware
- This is distributed as local Service Managers on each hardware node to provide fault tolerance



Demo system– Navigation scenario

- Indoor and outdoor campus navigation system
- Wireless access to external services
- 2D maps, 3D maps and AR overlays



Demo system– Using the framework

- Nearly entire functionality is handled by the DWARF services
 - Navigation sequence, campus geography, multi-modal I/O, printer location, outdoor tracking, indoor tracking, 3D rendering
- Application design is greatly simplified
 - Model campus and navigation in markup languages
 - Bootstrapping, glue logic
- Application implemented in three weeks' time

Demo system— Hardware

- Backpack system for demonstration of software
- Two laptops running Windows NT and 98
- Glasstron see-through HMD, FireWire Camera for Optical Tracking, commercial GPS receiver, Bluetooth / WaveLAN wireless communication
- Battery-powered, > 2 hours of operation



Conclusion

- The time has come for AR frameworks
 - Technology is becoming mature
 - Number of AR research groups is increasing
- We have investigated the feasibility of AR frameworks
 - Componentization is workable
 - Performance does not suffer from distribution
 - Rapid application development becomes possible
- We would like to encourage a discussion on framework components and technology.

Thank you

- Any questions?